1. **Opening**

Dr. K.J. Wee, head of the TTA delegation, opened the meeting at 9:20am on Friday, July 27, 2001. The Chair welcomed participants to Korea.

2. **Attendance registration**

The Secretary presented document OP-20010727-002 highlighting the OP delegates at the meeting.

3. **Approval of the agenda**

Attendees reviewed the agenda presented in OP-20010727-001r3. No modifications were made. The agenda was approved as presented.

4. **Contribution assignment and status**

The contributions to this meeting are presented in document OP-20010727-000.

5. **Approval of previous meeting summary**

No modifications were proposed to the draft meeting summary of the April 2001 3GPP2 OP meeting held in Philadelphia, PA – USA as presented in contribution OP-20010727-003r1. The meeting summary was approved as presented.

**DECISION 2001/07-01**

The Organizational Partners approve of the April 2001 modified meeting summary as presented in contribution OP-20010727-003r1.

6. **IPv6 Forum presentation / MRP application**

In conjunction with their application to join 3GPP2 as a Market Representation Partner, The IPv6 Forum provided a presentation on their activities contained in contribution OP-20010727-012.
The Chair asked if there were any objections to approving the IPv6 Forum as an MRP in 3GPP2. There were no objections.

**DECISION 2001/07-02**

The 3GPP2 Organizational Partners approve the IPv6 Forum as a Market Representation Partner in 3GPP2.

The Chair added that a delegate from the IPv6 Forum would be expected at the next 3GPP2 OP meeting (to be held November 2 2001 in Shenzhen, China) to sign the Partnership Project Agreement.

7. **Correspondence**

No correspondence was presented.

8. **Steering Committee Chairman’s report**

Mr. Steve Dennett, Chair of the 3GPP2 Steering Committee, presented his report of the previous day’s meeting. In so doing, he brought to the attention of the Organizational Partners contribution **OP-20010727-010**: Regional Requirements and 3GPP2.

**Regional Requirements and 3GPP2**

In his introduction, the SC Chair indicated that the correspondence provided to the Partners at this meeting was originally submitted to the SC meeting by TSG-S, who, along with the other TSGs, is seeking guidance on how to handle regional regulatory requirements within 3GPP2. The questions requiring OP consideration are:

- Do OPs have an obligation to bring regional requirements first to 3GPP2 prior to developing regional versions of 3GPP2 specifications? Based on the response, TSG-S requests that the appropriate understanding be confirmed with each OP.

- [What is] The process to be used when a regional requirement is identified to determine whether the requirement is acceptable to all OPs[?]?

Dr. Wee, as OP Chair, recommended that rather than formulate a decision at this meeting, the Partners might consider the issue by correspondence in preparation for the next OP meeting in November. He further sought input from the floor on specific areas to be addressed.

Ms. Cheryl Blum, Head of the TIA delegation, presented TIA’s input on the contribution.
• With regard to the issue of obligation, TIA feels it is important and extremely desirable to notify 3GPP2 of regional regulatory requirements as quickly as possible. This is not, however, obligatory given that the short time frame within which some of these regulatory requirements need to be addressed.

• With regard to the issue of process, TIA is of the opinion that, when a new item worksheet is provided (with company support), any regional regulatory requirements need to be clearly identified on the worksheet. Unlike the other worksheets, which are routed via the Steering Committee, these worksheets indicating the inclusion of regional regulatory requirements would be routed to the Organizational Partners. Any appeals would be noted. In the event of an appeal, if an SDO/OP does require regional requirement, this would not prevent the work item from being considered for inclusion in the work item, but its support (by one or more SDOs) would also be indicated. In this way, the regional requirement would be an optional specification and could identify which region(s) it applies to.

ARIB expressed the concern that there is no clear definition of the term “regional regulatory requirement”. Is it a handset requirement or a network requirement? ARIB requested that SDOs consider this issue in preparation for the next meeting.

TTA agreed with the need to identify the criteria for a regional requirement, and indicated that any agreed-upon mechanism would have to work around the fact that the Organizational Partners only meet quarterly.

The OP Chair presented the following time-line for SDO discussion of this subject:

• By September 30 – each SDO is encouraged to provide its input via the OP email reflector.
• By October 15 – each SDO is encouraged to submit comments on the input received.

**ACTION 2001/07-01**

By September 30, all 3GPP2 Partners are asked to provide input (to op@3gpp2.org) regarding how to handle regional regulatory requirements in 3GPP2. By October 15, all 3GPP2 Partners are asked to comment on the input received.

9. **OP Finance Oversight Committee**

9.1 2001 second quarter statement

Mr. Girish Patel, Chair of the OP Finance Oversight Committee, explained that a number of technical difficulties within the TIA Accounting department have led to the Secretariat not being able to present the second
quarter financial statement at this time. It will be circulated via the OP Finance Oversight Committee reflector as soon as it is made available.

He further explained that he will be seeking a meeting of the OP Finance Oversight Committee soon in order to discuss the 2002 3GPP2 budget.

9.2 Membership report

Mr. Patel presented the report of 3GPP2 individual member companies contained in contribution OP-20010727-005.

The OP Chair reminded all attendees that no individual member company can request any changes to the report without first going through their own OP.

10. OP working procedures ad hoc report

Ms. Susan Hoyler, Chair of the 3GPP2 Working Procedures Ad Hoc, explained that no meeting of the ad hoc had taken place since the last OP meeting.

11. 3GPP2 Secretariat report

The Secretary introduced contribution OP-20010727-006 outlining the activities of the Secretariat so far in 2001. The report presents a status of ongoing projects as well as projects completed during the first part of the year.

12. Old Business

12.1 ITU letters of conveyance

The Chair introduced contribution OP-20010727-009. The TIA Head of Delegation indicated that off-line discussions between SDOs during this meeting had yielded useful input and cautioned that there is a time-frame by which letters need to be provided. She further expressed the need to continue discussions off-line via the email reflector so that the letters can be signed by the October deadline.

In the discussion that followed, it was pointed out that the content of each SDO’s letter of conveyance may be different. By way of response, Ms. Blum indicated that it is certainly up to each SDO to make its own decision regarding whether or not to transpose a 3GPP2 specification, but that this should not prevent each of the SDOs from supporting 3GPP2 output, even without transposing.
12.2 Development of text – TSG coordination activities – SC-20010419-029

The SC Chair explained that, during the April 2001 OP meeting in Philadelphia, he brought contribution SC-20010419-029 to the attention of the Partners. This document contains the new procedures underway in each of the TSGs. He further explained that the OPs were asked to consider incorporating the procedure into the WPD but that the Partners preferred to first implement and evaluate the new procedures before codifying them in the Working Procedures Document.

The SC Chair recommended that this item be left open for a further meeting cycle to allow the TSG Chairs time to report back at the next meeting for progress on this item.

12.3 CWTS IPR policy – CWTS response to TIA correspondence

Mr. Wan Yi (CWTS) introduced this item by indicating that, at the February 2001 3GPP2 OP meeting (Osaka), CWTS gave a presentation on their IPR policy. TIA provided comments at the April OP meeting (Philadelphia) and CWTS has prepared a response presented in contribution OP-20010727-008. The contribution concluded by requesting that other SDOs share their IPR documents.

Ms. Hoyler (TIA) indicated that TIA had provided CWTS with a copy of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) policy for IPR and the current TIA IPR policy, and welcomed CWTS’ request for other SDOs to share English language versions of their policies.

ARIB added the point that, at 3GPP2’s inception, IPR policies were compared so there may be an English version of the IPR policy on file. TTA indicated it did have an English version of its IPR rules available on the English language section of its web site. TTC added that its policy was also available on its web site.

TIA emphasized it would welcome a formal exchange of IPR policies in the form of contributions for the next meeting, to ensure that each SDO receives the most up to date version of the document, without relying on the version available on the organization’s web site.

**ACTION 2001/07-02:**
Each OP is to submit its SDO’s IPR policy as a contribution for the next OP meeting.
13. New business

13.1 Proposed revision to 3GPP2 contribution template

Ms. Hoyler introduced contribution OP-20010727-007r1 and indicated that at the inception of the project, TIA provided suggested language for the contribution template. As time has progressed, TIA has enhanced its policy and feels that certain modifications should be made to the 3GPP2 template. It was emphasized that the modifications are, for the most part, enhancements to the existing language and not changes in the language.

TIA requests that each OP have their legal counsel review the contribution and offer comments by the next OP meeting. If the proposals are deemed acceptable then the document will be sent to the WPD ad hoc for inclusion in the WPD.

**ACTION 2001/07-03:**
Each OP is to consider the modifications presented in contribution OP-20010727-007r1 and provide comments (if any) prior to the next OP meeting. OPs are encouraged to submit questions/comments via the OP email reflector.

13.2 CDMA international roaming symposium

Dr. Wee (TTA) presented contribution OP-20010727-011, which provides information on a TTA-sponsored international roaming symposium. Global roaming is a major issue to TTA. The symposium is intended to identify barriers to global roaming and to come up with ways of moving forward. Attendees are encouraged to participate in this symposium. Any questions should be sent to Dr. K.J. Wee directly (kjwee@rrl.go.kr).

14. Assignments for next meeting

The actions issued at this meeting are as follows:

**ACTION 2001/07-01**
By September 30, all 3GPP2 Partners are asked to provide input (to op@3gpp2.org) regarding how to handle regional regulatory requirements in 3GPP2. By October 15, all 3GPP2 Partners are asked to comment on the input received.

**ACTION 2001/07-02:**
Each OP is to submit its SDO’s IPR policy as a contribution for the next OP meeting.
**ACTION 2001/07-03:**
Each OP is to consider the modifications presented in contribution OP-20010727-007r1 and provide comments (if any) prior to the next OP meeting. OPs are encouraged to submit questions/comments via the OP email reflector.

15 **Date of next meeting**

The next meeting of the 3GPP2 Organizational Partners will take place in Shenzhen, China on November 2, 2001. CWTS indicated that, at the present time, no hotel information is available but that it will be provided as soon as possible.

16. **Adjournment**

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 1:00pm.