Third Generation Partnership Project 2 (3GPP2)
TSG-N
Meeting Summary
May 7 - 11, 2001
Seattle, WA

Quorum List, Individual Members and Organizational Partners Present

IM, SDO, MRP	Representative
1. CRAG	G. Pelligrino
2. Ericsson	M. Houde
3. Fugjitsu	R. Hayashi
4. KDDI Corporation	T. Nemoto
5. LGE	F. Kujawski
6. Lucent Technologies, Inc.	B. Kidwell
7. Motorola	C. Ishman
8. NEC	S. Jones
9. Nokia	T. Brooks
10. Qualcomm	S. Arcens
11. Schlumberger SEMA	G. Schumacher
12. Siemens	F. Gay
13. Sprint PCS	L. Young
14. Verizon TSI	B. Levitan
15. Verizon Wireless	R. Ephraim

Others Present

IM, SDO, MPR, Observer	Representative
1. Alcatel	B. Cage
2. Alcatel	D. Kuenzer
3. Ericsson	S. Broyles
4. Ericsson	S. Deseochers
5. Ericsson	N. Greene
6. Logica Mobile Networks	E. O'Kane
7. Lucent Technologies, Inc.	R. Bunting
8. Nortel Networks	M. Bienn
9. Sprint PCS	B. Egbert

3GPP2-N00-20010611-002

Opening Plenary:
Noted that the correspondence from the All IP Ad Hoc had a corrupt attachment (TSG-N proposed changes to the NAM). Sent them a clean copy for review.

All other incoming correspondence was deferred to WGs.

WG1:
Stage 1 templates and process from TSG-S were reviewed. Correspondence was proposed with comments on the material.

Correspondence on Push Services from SKT and TSG-S was reviewed. There was insufficient information for taking action. Correspondence was proposed requesting additional requirements.

WG2:
After discussion of several IP related contributions, an IP Core Network focus group was formed to continue the discussion and develop a unified perspective of the IP Core Network.

The IP Evolution document was introduced for discussion. It was remanded to the IP Core Network focus group. Comments from Motorola were accepted as modified and correspondence was proposed to the Evolution focus group with those comments and an indication that TSG-N would continue to address evolution concerns.

Correspondence regarding Wireless Packet Data Security was reviewd. Correspondence to the AHAG was proposed requesting additional insight and direction for moving forward. Correspondence to TSG-S was proposed indicating TSG-N would provide a response after hearing from the AHAG.

IP Core Network Focus Group
Considerable discussion led to a better understanding of the various assumptions members had regarding the NAM and IP requirements. Acknowledging the assumptions was a good first step to resolving differences and building a common understanding. Action items were taken to begin addressing differences between IP network, Multimedia Domain, and Legacy MS Domain and to begin incorporating the Proxy SCM into the NAM.

Additional items remain for further study.

The focus group will meet regularly to continue building a common perspective on IP Core Network.

WG3:
It was agreed to send parts 000 and 5XX of TIA/EIA-41-E for ballot. Ballot version documents will be available May 18. Note that N.S0005-A will not be complete until all parts complete ballot.

It was agreed to align N.0009 with IOS v4.1 instead of v4.0. This decision resulted in a two month schedule slip for the specification.

WG4:
It was agreed that N.0036 is ready for V&V. Comments are expected at the June meeting.

Closing Plenary:
Correspondence was approved to TSG-S, the All IP Ad Hoc, the Evolution Focus Group, and the AHAG per discussions during the WGs.

The 1Q 2003 schedule was approved. (Jan. 13-17 in Key West, FL; Feb. 10-14 in Monterey, CA; March 17-21 in San Antonio, TX)

A Packet Handoff focus group was scheduled for May 31, 2001 in Naperville, IL. The agenda will be distributed by May 18.